MANAGEMENT STAFF CUMBENTS REGARDING PROPOSED HANDSCOK "PROCESSING OUT PROCEDURES"

- of the proposed handbook is necessary because contains detailed procedures giverning separations. The management Staff survey found that regulations in existence or proposed do not contain sufficient procedural guidance for operating personnel.

 Moreover, the Management Staff recommended, Personnel concurred in, and the Deputy Director (Support) approved a handbook.
- 2. The proposed handbook shifts responsibility for processing out separating employees from the Office of Personnel to employing components. This is contrary to the Management Staff recommendation, concurred in by Personnel and approved by the DD/S. During the course of the survey, the Office of Personnel was most emphatic in insisting that Office of Personnel should be the local point for processing, not the operating offices. An employing component should be responsible only for insuring that its internal relationships with the separating employee are concluded.
- 3. The proposed handbook defines "property" as including equipment, documents, publications and advances of funds. This is a confusing definition of property; moreover, this definition does not cover other relationships or obligations which must be concluded by the separating employee, such as cover arrangements.
- h. The title of the proposed handbook "Processing Out Procedures" is not descriptive of the objective. Suggest "Processing Separating Agency Employees".
- 5. Paragraph 1 of the proposed handbook states that the handbook applies to "departing agency personnel". This is confusing; "departing agency personnel" could mean amployees going on leave or TIT, and such application is not intended.
- 6. Paragraph I refers to accountability for Government-owned property in the custody of departing personnel. This should be revised to include accountability for, or settlement of, all obligations to and official relationships with CIA. It should, for example, include obligations by the Agency to separating employees. There have been many cases of this type.
- 7. Paragraph 2 fails to extend the handbook to employees going on PCS. Such coverage was recommended in the Management Staff study.

B

25X1

25X1

25X1

- 8. Paragraph 3, definition of "property". See paragraph 3 above.
- 9. Paragraph 3 of the proposed handbook refers to Government funds which have been advanced to an employed and not adcounted for. This should also include other funds for which the employed may have to account (such as overpayments in salary, per diem, travel expenses, etc.) as well as obligations by CIA to the asparating employee.
- 10. Paragraph 3 refers to Form 21, Final Payment Clearance Sheet, but does not describe use of the form. Suggest that a sample form, prepared in accordance with the Management Staff's recommendations, be included as as attachment to the proposed handbook.
- II. Paragraph ha assigns responsibility for processing out procedures to the employing component. The Office of Personnel should be the local point for such processing. See paragraph 2 above.

 Suggest that the term "eperating official" be substituted for "component" or "employing component", since a "component" would include a sub-division of a major Agency component, and it was not intensed that deprecessing take place at, for example, the section or branch level.
- 12. Paragraph to of the proposed handbook states that the Office of the Comptroller is responsible for effecting final settlement of the separating employee's obligations. This is not true; the Comptroller has nothing to do with conclusion of cover arrangements or return of classified documents.
- 13. Paragraph to states that "other Agency components, referred to herein as offices of recent, are responsible for issuing clear-anses..." This should be more specific; "offices of record" (such as Logistics, Fiscal Division, etc.) should be named. The statement suggests that all "other Agency components" are offices of record, and this is not time.
- that the amplaying component notify Personnel, Finance, Fiscal, Logistics, and Security issuedictely, by telephone, of an anticipated separation or LEOP, when it is apparent that normal written documentation or notice will not reach these offices at least two weeks in advance of the separation or LEOP. He provision is made in the proposed handbook for this recommendation, which was concurred in by the Office of Personneland approved by the LEOP.
- 15. Paragraph 5s of the proposed handbook makes no provision for the employing office to certify to the clearance of the separating employee on the Form 21, Final Payment Clearance Sheet. This provision was recommended by the Management taff (recommendation 6s /1/).

Approved For Release 2002/08/28 PEA-RDP61-00274A000200020004-0

- 16. Paragraph 5s states that all clearances with Personnel, Security, and the Comptroller must be obtained by the employee personally. This should be revised to require personal clearance with Personnel, Security, Finance and Fiscal Divisions, as recommended by Management Staff (recommendation 6s /L).
- 17. The proposed handbook does not provide that the deprocessing will be completed, whenever possible, on the day before final separation. This was recommended by Management Staff (recommendation 6a 27).
- 18. Paragraph 7s states that when an employee separates oversees, the headquarters employing component will obtain from Central
 Processing Branch the clearances obtained "during the processing
 out when the individual was departing for his FCS assignment overseas". However, the handbook does not require any deprocessing for
 employees going overseas PCS (see our paragraph 7 shove). Assuming
 there were such deprocessing prior to overseas departure, the
 employee's obligations may have changed by the time he separated
 overseas, so that the previous clearances would not be valid. Such
 clearance at headquarters should be made show when the employee
 separates overseas, however, no provision is made in this paragraph
 for clearance at headquarters for the employee who was never permanently employed at headquarters, even though he may have incurred
 headquarters obligations while on TIM.
- 19. No provision is made in the proposed handbook for Hanagement Staff recommendation on (30), that the field notify headquarters by cable or dispatch regarding outstanding obligations or unsettled relationships incurred everses by the employee who is returning to headquarters to separate or go on MOP.